

WE066 Evaluation of effect models in the environmental risk assessment of plant protection products

J. Becker, UFZ Center for Environmental Research / Department of System Ecotoxicology; T. Jäger, DEBtox Research / Dept of Theoretical Biology; S. Kramer-Schadt, Leibniz Institute for Zoo and Wildlife Research; S. Duquesne, UBA, Federal Environment agency; T. Frische, Federal Environment Agency (UBA) / Section Plant Protection Products; S. Pieper, German Federal Environment Agency (UBA) / Plant Protection Products; M. Solé, German Federal Environment Agency UBA; J. Wogram, German Federal Environment Agency UBA / IV 2.4 - Ecotoxicological Assessm.; M. Liess, UFZ Center for Environmental Research / Department of System Ecotoxicology. Environmental risk assessment (ERA) of plant protection products is carried out in a tiered process. Beside experimental refinement options to address the risk for non-target organisms identified at lower tiers (e.g. tests with modified exposure, more complex community studies such as micro-/mesocosm studies or field trials), mechanistic effect models have been increasingly submitted to authorities during the last years. Compared to experimental field studies, models are relatively inexpensive and can depict effects or scenarios that would be difficult to investigate in the field. Modeling has been identified as an appropriate methodology for "higher tier" refined risk assessment in the EFSA Opinion on Protection Goals (EFSA, 2010) as well as in various SETAC workshops. Despite the uncontested potential of this approach to address important issues in the risk assessment of pesticides, and despite the use of these models in research science, their implementation in the environmental risk assessment of PPP implies an evaluation of their quality and uncertainty in the identification and prediction of risks. For this, the relevant mechanisms that affect the sensitivity of different biological organization levels need to be known and then considered in the model. To generate confidence in the model predictions, the models (and each model application) must be evaluated using a set of quality criteria, prior to application in ERA. This allows risk assessors to weight the results of a model analysis in comparison with other lines of evidence. In a project funded by the German Ministry of Environment, Nature conservation, Building and Nuclear safety (BMUB), a critical evaluation of the suitability of effect models for their use into ERA is performed. Preliminary results indicate that most models lack validation through testing of predictions with independent data. In order to quantify the level of confidence that can be placed in the outcome of current risk assessment models, we will evaluate selected models spanning the range from lower to higher tier, as well as from individual to community/ecosystem level, according to the EFSA guidelines on good modelling practice.